Isolation and Synthesis of a New 9,11-Secosterol from the Sponge Spongia officinalis

Riccardo Adinolfi, Anna Migliuolo, Vincenzo Piccialli, and Donato Sica

J. Nat. Prod., **1994**, 57 (9), 1220-1226• DOI:
10.1021/np50111a005 • Publication Date (Web): 01 July 2004

Journal of Natural Products
Vol. 57, No. 9, pp. 1200-1226, September 1994

ISOLATION AND SYNTHESIS OF A NEW 9,11-SECOSTEROL FROM THE SPONGE *SPONGIA OFFICINALIS*

RICCARDO ADINOLFI, ANNA MIGLIUOLO, VINCENZO PICCIALLI, and DONATO SICA*

Dipartimento di Chimica Organica e Biologica, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, via Mezzocannone 16, 80134 Napoli, Italy

ABSTRACT.—A new 9,11-secosterol [**1**] has been isolated from the sponge *Spongia officinalis*. The structure of the new metabolite has been assigned by interpretation of spectral data and confirmed by synthesis starting from 7-dehydrocholesterol.

The sponge *Spongia officinalis* L. (order Dictyoceratida, family Spongiidae) is a particularly rich source of steroidal metabolites. Previous studies on this organism have led to the isolation of a number of new polyoxygenated steroids, namely, Δ^7-3β,5α,6β-trihydroxysterols (1), Δ^7-3β,5α,6β,9α-tetrahydroxysterols (2), Δ^8- and $\Delta^{8(14)}$-5α,6α-epoxysterols (3), and 9,11-secosterols (4,5). The sponge also produces $\Delta^{5,7}$-sterols (6) which can be seen hypothetically as biogenetic precursors of all of the above-mentioned polyoxysteroids.

A reinvestigation of the polar fractions of the extracts of this sponge has now led to the isolation of a further 9,11-secosterol [**1**], related to the previously isolated 9,11-secosterols from the same source, whose structure elucidation and synthesis are discussed in the present paper.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Compound **1** was obtained as a crystalline, optically active substance that gave no molecular ion peak in the eims spectrum. Nevertheless, a careful examination of the ms and ^{13}C- and ^{1}H-nmr spectra of **1** (the latter two recorded in pyridine-d_5, Table 1) unequivocally established a molecular formula of $C_{29}H_{46}O_6$ for the new metabolite. The high-field region of the ^{1}H-nmr spectrum displayed four methyl resonances (two singlets at δ 1.73 and 0.90, and two doublets at δ 0.97 and 0.85, the latter integrating for six protons), which suggested that the new metabolite was a steroid belonging to the cholestane series. Ir absorptions at 3452, 1725, 1713, 1679, and 1265 cm^{-1} indicated the presence of ester, α,β-unsaturated ketone, and hydroxyl functions in the molecule. The uv absorption at 231 nm (ε 2977) and ^{13}C-nmr ($CDCl_3$) resonances at δ 203.95 (s, C-9), 139.11 (d, C-7) and 136.80 (s, C-8) confirmed the presence of the enone moiety. In the ^{13}C-nmr spectrum recorded in pyridine-d_5 the signal for C-8 is submerged by the solvent signal at δ 135.5. The ester function was part of an acetate group because the ^{1}H-nmr spectrum displayed a three-proton singlet signal at δ 2.00 (acetoxymethyl), while the mass spectrum included an M^+-CH_3COOH ion peak at *m/z* 430. The eims also revealed the presence of two hydroxyl groups in **1** exhibiting fragment ions at *m/z* 472 (M^+-H_2O, highest mass ion observed in the spectrum), 412 ($M^+-H_2O-CH_3COOH$)



1



2

TABLE 1. ^{1}H- and ^{13}C-nmr Data for Compound **1**.[a]

Position	δ_C[b]	δ_H[c] (m, *J*)	
1	28.29	H_{ax}	2.67 (ddd, 13.9, 13.9, 4.0)
2	27.29		
3	72.31[d]		5.73 (m)
4	36.89	H_{ax}	2.75 (dd, 12.6, 12.6)
		H_{eq}	2.38 (br dd, 12.6, 4.3)
5	76.38		
6	71.49[d]		4.71 (br d, 5.1)
7	142.35		6.94 (d, 5.1)
8	*		
9	205.15		
10	46.54		
11	204.09		10.30 (d, 3.5)
12	50.92	Ha	2.44 (dd, 16.3, 3.5)
		Hb	2.31 (d, 16.3)
13	48.87		
14	43.78		3.94 (dd, 11.8, 8.0)
15	26.58[e]		
16	26.45[e]		
17	51.95		
18	16.57		0.90 (s)
19	21.70[f]		1.73 (s)
20	35.20		
21	19.40		0.97 (d, 6.7)
22	35.73		
23	24.53		
24	39.63		
25	28.15		
26	22.66[g]		0.85 (d, 6.7)
27	22.90[g]		0.85 (d, 6.7)
OH			7.49 (s), 6.69 (s)
CH_3CO-	21.85[f]		2.00 (s)
CH_3CO-	170.40		

[a]^{1}H- and ^{13}C-nmr spectra were recorded in pyridine-d_5 at 400 and 100.1 MHz, respectively. The signal indicated with an asterisk overlapped with the solvent signal at δ 135.5. This carbon resonated at δ 136.80 in the spectrum recorded in $CDCl_3$.

[b]Assignment based on DEPT experiments and comparison with literature data (2,3) and 3β,6α-dihydroxy-9-oxo-9,11-seco-5α-cholest-7-en-11-al [**2**] (4) taken as a model compound. Pyridine-d_5 as internal reference (149.9 ppm).

[c]Assignments based on decoupling experiments. Residual pyridine as internal reference (8.71 ppm). Coupling constants are given in Hz.

[d–g]Values with identical superscripts may be interchanged.

and 394 ($M^+ - 2H_2O - CH_3COOH$), for two successive H_2O losses. The presence of two exchangeable protons in the high-field region of the pyridine-d_5 ^{1}H-nmr spectrum of **1** (δ 7.49 and 6.69) confirmed this deduction. On the other hand, ^{13}C- and ^{1}H-nmr resonances at δ 204.09 (d), and 10.30 (d, J=3.5 Hz), respectively, indicated the presence of an aldehyde group in the molecule as well.

The placement of the above-mentioned functionalities (2×OH, 1×CHO, 1×$OCOCH_3$, 1×C=C-C=O) within the steroidal nucleus was established on the basis of the following evidence. A typical seven-line multiplet attributable to the 3α-H ^{1}H-nmr resonance was observed at δ 5.73. Past experience suggested that the unusually high chemical shift value for this proton could not be solely ascribed to acetylation of the C-3 OH group, but also required the presence in the molecule of an α-oriented hydroxyl

group at C-5 (7). That C-5 was indeed a non-protonated carbon was confirmed by the multiplicity of the H_2-4 protons that resonated as two mutually coupled double doublets at δ 2.75 (J=12.6 and 12.6 Hz, H_{ax}-4) and δ 2.38 (J=12.6 and 4.3 Hz, H_{eq}-4). The second splitting observed for each of these signals was due to coupling with the adjacent 3α-H proton, as indicated by decoupling experiments. Evidence corroborating the presence of a C-5, axially disposed OH group was given by the strong pyridine-induced shifts experienced by both the H_{ax}-1 and H_{ax}-3 protons, which resonated downfield at δ 2.67 (ddd, J=13.9, 13.9, and 4.0 Hz) and 5.73, respectively [Δδ (H_{ax}-1)=0.67; Δδ (H_{ax}-3)=0.64 in comparison with the spectrum recorded in $CDCl_3$], due to their 1,3-diaxial relationship, and hence accounted for the vicinity in space of the hydroxyl group in question (5-OH) (7).

The unsaturation count and consideration of the functionalities present in the molecule indicated a tricyclic skeleton, and therefore a secosterol structure for the metabolite under investigation [**1**]. The similarity of the proton spectrum of **1** with that of compound **2**, a recently isolated 9,11-secosterol from the same sponge (4), strongly suggested that the two steroids were closely related metabolites and that compound **1** was itself a 9,11-secosterol. This suggested the placement of the enone moiety between C-6 and C-10 and the aldehyde group at C-11, as in compound **2**, leaving C-6 as the probable carbon atom carrying the second OH group. These considerations were corroborated by the following spectral data. Decoupling experiments demonstrated that the H-6 proton, resonating at δ 4.71 (br d, J=5.1 Hz), was coupled with the H-7 olefinic proton centered at δ 6.94 (d, J=5.1 Hz) and homoallylically coupled with the H-14 proton at δ 3.94 (dd, J=11.8 and 8.0 Hz). The β orientation of the C-6 OH group was indicated by the strong pyridine-induced shifts experienced by both the Me-19 and H_{ax}-4 protons (Δδ=0.38 and 0.55 ppm, respectively, in comparison with the spectrum recorded in $CDCl_3$). On the contrary, in sterol **2**, these protons experienced only limited downfield shifts due to the inversion in the configuration at C-6 (α-OH), while H_{eq}-4 underwent a more consistent pyridine-induced deshielding for the same reason (4).

The presence in **1** of a -CH_2(12)-CHO(11) moiety was proposed from the following evidence. The H_2-12 protons resonated as a pair of mutually coupled (J=16.3 Hz) signals centered at δ 2.44 (dd) and 2.31 (d) with only the proton resonating at lower field coupled with the aldehydic proton at δ 10.30 (d, J=3.5 Hz), as seen for **2**, while the mass spectrum exhibited intense peaks at *m/z* 447 (M^+-CH_2CHO) and 429 ($M^+-CH_2CHO-H_2O$). That this grouping was linked to C-13 followed from the nOe enhancements exhibited by both the H_2-12 protons when H_3-18 was irradiated. This nOe experiment also revealed the vicinity in space of Me-18 and H-7 (irradiation on H_3-18 also resulted in a strong enhancement of the H-7 signal), a fact that further supported a ring-C seco-structure for **1**. In fact, examination of a Dreiding model of the molecule indicated that the protons in question (H-7 and H_3-18) can be brought near in space only if the right-hand (ring-D-containing) part of the molecule is free to rotate around the 8,14 bond; that is, only if ring C is fragmented at a point of the C-9–C-13 segment (at the C-9–C-11 bond, in our case).

The presence of a cholesterol-type side-chain was indicated by ^{1}H-nmr and ms evidence. As previously noted, the proton spectrum of **1** included resonances for an isopropyl group (H_3-26 and H_3-27) at δ 0.85 and for a methyl linked to a methine group at δ 0.97 (H_3-21), while the ms spectrum exhibited fragment ions at *m/z* 377 ($M^+-C_8H_{17}$), 341 ($M^+-C_8H_{17}-2H_2O$), 299 ($M^+-C_8H_{17}-CH_3COOH-H_2O$) and 281 ($M^+-C_8H_{17}-CH_3COOH-2H_2O$), that indicated the presence of a C_8H_{17} saturated side-chain.

Final confirmation of the stereostructure of **1** was obtained by synthesis starting from 7-dehydrocholesteryl acetate [**3**] following a synthetic protocol similar to that

previously used for the synthesis of compound **2** (Scheme 1). Thus, 7-dehydrocholesteryl acetate [**3**] was oxidized with $Na_2Cr_2O_7$ in CH_3COOH/C_6H_6, as described by Fieser *et al.* for its Δ^{22} C-24 methyl homologue (ergosterol) (8), to give α-ketol **4**, which was reduced with $NaBH_4$ in EtOH to afford 5α-cholest-7-ene-3β,5,6β-triol 3-acetate [**5**]. Reaction of **5** with $Hg(OAc)_2/CH_3COOH$ in $CHCl_3$ (9) yielded the 7,9(11)-diene **6**, a key intermediate when the scission of the 9(11)-double bond is to be accomplished. Dihydroxylation at the C-9–C-11 positions was obtained by reacting **6** with OsO_4 in 1,4-dioxane (10) for 1 h followed by treatment with $NaHSO_3$, which gave the tetrahydroxysterol **7**, along with a small amount of the α,β-unsaturated ketone **8** derived from further oxidation at the C-6 carbon atom. Compound **8** was easily converted into **7** by $NaBH_4$ reduction in EtOH for 30 min. Finally, compound **7** was reacted with crystalline $Pb(OAc)_4$ in CH_3COOH (11) for 5 min. This reaction led to the



SCHEME 1

exclusive scission of the C-9–C-11 bond leaving the C-5–C-6 trans-diol system unaffected, to give a product which had spectral (^{1}H-nmr, ^{13}C-nmr, ir, uv, ms) and chromatographic properties identical to those exhibited by natural **1**. In addition, the optical rotations of the synthetic and natural materials were identical, thus establishing that the absolute configuration of the new compound is the one indicated.

From a biogenetic point of view it seems reasonable that both the 9,11-secosterols **1** and **2** could be derived from a common 5,7,9(11)-triene sterol through oxidation at the C-5 and C-6 carbons, in the case of **1**, or only at C-6, in the case of **2**, with concomitant oxidative cleavage of the 9,11 double bond.

EXPERIMENTAL

GENERAL EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES.—^{1}H- and ^{13}C-nmr spectra were recorded on Bruker WM 270 and 400 spectrometers in pyridine-d_5 or $CDCl_3$. ^{1}H-Nmr chemical shifts were referenced to the residual $CHCl_3$ and pyridine signals (7.26 and 8.71 ppm, respectively). ^{13}C-Nmr chemical shifts were referenced to the solvent signals ($CDCl_3$: 77.0 ppm; C_5D_5N: 149.9 ppm). The multiplicity of the ^{13}C-nmr resonances was determined by DEPT experiments (12). NOe nmr spectra were obtained at 400 MHz in a degassed pyridine solution. Hreims were recorded on a Kratos AEI-MS mass spectrometer. Lreims were recorded on a TRIO 2000 mass spectrometer. Ft-ir spectra were obtained with a Perkin-Elmer 1760-X Ft-ir spectrophotometer. Uv spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer model 550-S spectrophotometer. Hplc separations were performed using a Varian 2510 pump equipped with a Waters R403 differential refractometer. Mps were determined on a Reichert Termovar type 300429 Kofler hot-stage melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin-Elmer model 141 polarimeter. Cc was performed on Merck Si gel 40 (70–230 mesh). Tlc analyses were performed on Merck precoated Si gel F_{254} plates (0.25 mm thick).

EXTRACTION OF THE SPONGE AND ISOLATION OF **1**.—*Spongia officinalis* was collected in the Bay of Naples in March 1990. Reference specimens are on file at the Dipartimento di Chimica Organica e Biologica dell' Università di Napoli. Freshly collected animals (433 g dry wt after extraction) were extracted twice with Me_2CO and twice with $CHCl_3$-MeOH (1:1). The combined extracts were concentrated under reduced pressure and the aqueous residue was extracted with Et_2O. The combined Et_2O extracts were evaporated and the oily residue (29.64 g) was chromatographed on a Si gel column (600 g, 4 cm diameter) eluted with $CHCl_3$ and increasing amounts of CH_3OH in $CHCl_3$, with 200-ml fractions collected. Fractions 23–26 (345 mg), eluted with $CHCl_3$-CH_3OH (99:1), were combined and subjected to hplc separation on a Hibar LiChrosorb Si-60 column (250×10 mm; flow 2.5 ml/min) using hexane-EtOAc (3:7) as the mobile phase. The first eluted fraction from this separation (R_t 8.8 min; 9.7 mg) was further purified by normal-phase hplc on a Hibar LiChrosorb Si-60 column (250×4 mm) eluted with hexane-EtOAc (6:4) to give pure **1** (3.3 mg).

3β-Acetoxy-5,6β-dihydroxy-9-oxo-9,11-seco-5α-cholest-7-en-11-al [**1**].—Mp 164–165° [petroleum ether (80–100°)-$CHCl_3$, 8:2]; $[\alpha]_D$ −52.5° (*c*=0.3, $CHCl_3$); ir (neat) ν max 3452, 1725, 1713, 1679, 1265 cm^{-1}; uv (MeOH) λ max 231 nm (ε 2977); ^{1}H nmr (pyridine-d_5) and ^{13}C nmr (pyridine-d_5), see Table 1; ^{1}H nmr ($CDCl_3$, 400 MHz) δ 9.89 (1H, d, *J*=3.7 Hz, H-12), 6.48 (1H, d, *J*=4.9 Hz, H-6), 5.09 (1H, m, H_α-3), 4.06 (1H, d, *J*=4.9 Hz, H_α-6), 3.58 (1H, dd, *J*=11.0 and 11.0 Hz, H-14), 2.27 (1H, dd, *J*=15.9 and 3.7 Hz, H_a-12), 2.20 (1H, dd, *J*=12.8 and 12.8 Hz, H_{ax}-4), 2.06 (m, H_{eq}-2, overlapped with other signals), 2.05 (3H, s, acetate), 2.01 (1H, d, *J*=15.9 Hz, H_b-12), 2.00 (m, H_{ax}-1, overlapped with other signals), 1.89 (1H, dd, *J*=12.8 and 4.9 Hz, H_{eq}-4), 1.63 (1H, dddd, *J*=12.8, 12.8, 12.8, and 4.3 Hz, H_{ax}-2), 1.35 (3H, s, H_3-19), 0.92 (3H, d, *J*=6.7 Hz, H_3-21), 0.86 (6H, d, *J*=6.7 Hz, H_3-26 and H_3-27), 0.79 (3H, s, H_3-18); eims *m/z* 472 (M^+-H_2O, 10), 448 (M^+-CH_2CO, 5), 447 (M^+-CH_2CHO, 12), 430 (M^+-CH_3COOH, 8), 429 ($M^+-CH_2CHO-H_2O$, 15), 412 ($M^+-H_2O-CH_3COOH$, 18), 394 ($M^+-2H_2O-CH_3COOH$, 20), 377 (M^+−side chain, 12), 369 ($M^+-CH_2CHO-H_2O-CH_3COOH$, 35), 351 ($M^+-CH_2CHO-2H_2O-CH_3COOH$, 20), 341 ($M^+$−side chain−$2H_2O$, 10), 299 ($M^+$−side chain−$CH_3COOH-H_2O$, 15), 281 ($M^+$−side chain−$CH_3COOH-2H_2O$, 20); hreims *m/z* 472.3175 (M^+-H_2O), $C_{29}H_{44}O_5$ requires 472.3189.

SYNTHESIS OF **1** (SCHEME 1).—*5α-Cholest-7-ene-3β,5,6β-triol 3-acetate* [**5**].—5α-Cholesta-5,7-diene-3β-yl acetate (5.0 g, 12 mmol) was oxidized with $Na_2Cr_2O_7$ dihydrate (1.5 g, 5 mmol) according to Fieser's procedure (8). The reaction mixture was chromatographed on a Si gel column (350 g, 4.5 cm i.d.) eluted with increasing amounts of Et_2O in petroleum ether. The fractions eluted with petroleum ether-Et_2O (72:28) contained 1.54 g of crude ketone **4** which was subjected to $NaBH_4$ reduction without further purification as follows. To a solution of crude **4** (950 mg) in EtOH (30 ml), excess $NaBH_4$ was added and the suspension stirred at room temperature for 2 h, then excess reagent was destroyed by dropwise addition of CH_3COOH. The reaction mixture was treated with brine (20 ml) and extracted with Et_2O (3×20 ml).

The combined Et_2O extracts were washed with a saturated $NaHCO_3$ solution, dried ($MgSO_4$), and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed on a Si gel column eluting with petroleum ether/Et_2O mixtures of increasing polarity. Petroleum ether-Et_2O (1:1) was used to elute 620 mg of a material which was further separated by hplc on a Hibar LiChrosorb Si-60 (250×10 mm) column using hexane-EtOAc (65:35) as eluent to give 410 mg of pure 5α-cholest-7-ene-3β,5,6β-triol 3-acetate [**5**].

Compound **5** exhibited: Mp 236–238° [petroleum ether (80–100°)-$CHCl_3$, 8:2]; $[\alpha]_D$ −31.6° (c=1.5, $CHCl_3$); ir (film) ν max 3414, 1728, 1714, 1255 cm^{-1}; ^1H nmr ($CDCl_3$, 270 MHz) δ 5.33 (1H, br ddd, J=5.4, 2.4, and 2.4 Hz, H-7), 5.13 (1H, m, H_α-3), 3.60 (1H, br dd, J=5.4 and 5.4 Hz, H_α-6), 2.23 (1H, dd, J=12.2 and 12.2 Hz, H_{ax}-4), 2.02 (3H, s, acetate), 1.08 (3H, s, H_3-19), 0.92 (3H, d, J=6.7 Hz, H_3-21), 0.86 (6H, d, J=6.1 Hz, H_3-26 and H_3-27), 0.57 (3H, s, H_3-18); ^{13}C nmr ($CDCl_3$, 100.1 MHz) δ 170.67 (s), 143.94 (s), 117.46 (d), 75.67 (s), 73.71 (d), 71.15 (d), 56.26 (d), 54.64 (d), 43.80 (d), 43.23 (s), 39.48 (t), 39.34 (t), 37.16 (s), 36.15 (d), 36.06 (t), 35.81 (t), 32.64 (t), 27.99 (d), 27.76 (t), 26.95 (t), 23.95 (t), 22.94 (t), 22.79 (q), 22.54 (q), 21.95 (t), 21.41 (q), 18.83 (q), 18.60 (q), 12.08 (q); eims *m/z* 442 (M^+-H_2O, 12), 382 ($M^+-H_2O-CH_3COOH$, 96), 367 ($M^+-H_2O-CH_3COOH-CH_3$, 77), 353 (100), 329 (M^+-H_2O−side-chain, 7), 311 (M^+−side-chain−$2H_2O$, 5), 269 (M^+−side-chain−H_2O-CH_3COOH, 35), 251 (M^+−side-chain-$2H_2O-CH_3COOH$, 27).

5α-Cholesta-7,9(11)-diene-3β,5,6β-triol 3-acetate [**6**].—To a solution of 232 mg (0.5 mmol) of 5α-cholest-7-ene-3β,5,6β-triol 3-acetate [**5**] in 6.5 ml of $CHCl_3$, 368 mg (1.2 mmol) of $Hg(OAc)_2$ in 12.7 ml of CH_3COOH was added and the suspension was stirred at room temperature (approximately 25°) for 20 h and then filtered. The filtrate was treated with saturated aqueous $NaHCO_3$ solution and extracted with $CHCl_3$. The extract was washed with H_2O, dried over $MgSO_4$, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue (215 mg) was chromatographed over a Si gel column eluted with petroleum ether-Et_2O (4:6) to give 163 mg of a mixture which, on tlc analysis ($CHCl_3$-MeOH, 95:5), was shown to be mainly composed of two uv-visible spots. Hplc separation by reversed-phase hplc on a Hibar LiChrosorb RP-18 (250×10 mm) column (eluent MeOH-H_2O, 96:4) gave 40 mg of 5α-cholesta-7,9(11)-diene-3β,5,6β-triol 3-acetate [**6**] still contaminated by another product. Final purification of **6** was achieved by analytical tlc ($CHCl_3$-EtOAc, 85:15) thus affording 25 mg of pure **6**: mp 184–185° [petroleum ether (80–100°)-$CHCl_3$, 8:2]; $[\alpha]_D$ +11.1° (c=0.8, $CHCl_3$); ir (neat) ν max 3597, 3446, 1713, 1278 cm^{-1}; uv (CH_3OH) λ max 245 nm (ε 10086); ^1H nmr ($CDCl_3$, 270 MHz) δ 5.72 (1H, br d, J=6.8 Hz, H-11), 5.42 (1H, br d, J=5.9 Hz, H-7), 5.17 (1H, m, H_α-3), 3.81 (1H, br d, J=5.9 Hz, H_α-6), 2.38 (1H, dd, J=17.6 and 6.8 Hz, Ha-12), 2.14 (1H, br d, J=17.6 Hz, Hb-12), 2.03 (3H, s, acetate), 1.28 (3H, s, H_3-19), 0.91 (3H, d, J=6.3 Hz, H_3-21), 0.86 (6H, d, J=6.8 Hz, H_3-26 and H_3-27), 0.57 (3H, s, H_3-18); ^{13}C nmr ($CDCl_3$, 67.9 MHz) δ 170.57 (s), 139.91 (s), 138.92 (s), 126.37 (d), 118.10 (d), 75.04 (s), 73.89 (d), 71.08 (d), 56.32 (d), 51.29 (d), 42.65 (s), 42.37 (t), 40.60 (s), 39.47 (t), 35.98 (t), 34.17 (t), 31.06 (t), 28.24 (t), 27.99 (d), 26.91 (t), 26.18 (q), 23.91 (t), 23.10 (t), 22.79 (q), 22.53 (q), 21.40 (q), 18.42 (q), 11.35 (q); eims *m/z* 458 (M^+, 4), 440 (M^+-H_2O, 5), 425 ($M^+-H_2O-CH_3$, 4), 398 (M^+-CH_3COOH, 20), 380 ($M^+-CH_3COOH-H_2O$, 65), 365 ($M^+-CH_3COOH-H_2O-CH_3$, 28), 327 (M^+−side-chain−H_2O, 8), 285 (M^+−side-chain−CH_3COOH, 25), 267 (M^+−side-chain−$CH_3COOH-H_2O$, 38), 95 (100).

5α-Cholest-7-ene-3β,5,6β,9α,11α-pentol 3-acetate [**7**] *and 3β-acetoxy-5,9α,11α-trihydroxy-5α-cholest-7-en-6-one* [**8**].—To 14.6 mg (0.03 mmol) of **6** dissolved in 4 ml of freshly distilled 1,4-dioxane, excess osmium tetroxide was added, and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 90 min. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure gave the crude osmate ester as a dark brown material which was hydrolyzed as follows. The osmate ester was dissolved in 2 ml of 1,4-dioxane and 2 ml of a saturated $NaHSO_3$ solution were added under stirring. After 15 min the mixture was filtered and the filtrate was washed two times with a 2 N HCl solution, dried ($MgSO_4$), and taken to dryness. The residue (15.0 mg) was separated by hplc on a Hibar LiChrosorb Si-60 (250×4 mm) column using hexane-EtOAc (85:15) as eluent to afford 10.6 mg of pure tetrol **7** and 2.5 mg of ketone **8**. $NaBH_4$ reduction of compound **8** in the same conditions used for the reduction of **4** gave, after hplc purification in the above conditions (hexane-EtOAc, 85:15), 2.2 mg of **7**. Compound **7** exhibited mp 210–211° [petroleum ether (80–100°)-$CHCl_3$, 8:2]; $[\alpha]_D$ −4.1° (c=0.5, $CHCl_3$); ir (neat) ν max 3401, 1714, 1265 cm^{-1}; ^1H nmr (pyridine-d_5, 400 MHz) δ 5.87 (1H, dd, J=5.4 and 1.6 Hz, H-7), 5.77 (1H, m, H_α-3), 4.50 (1H, dd, J=11.4 and 5.1 Hz, H_β-11), 4.37 (1H, dd, J=5.4 and 2.2 Hz, H_α-6), 2.88 (1H, dd, J=12.4 and 12.4 Hz, H_{ax}-4), 2.38 (1H, dd, J=12.1 and 5.1 Hz, H_{eq}-12), 2.33 (1H, dd, J=12.4 and 5.1 Hz, H_{eq}-4), 2.00 (3H, s, acetate), 1.95 (1H, dd, J=12.1 and 12.1 Hz, H_{ax}-12), 1.73 (3H, s, H_3-19), 0.94 (3H, d, J=5.7 Hz, H_3-21), 0.87 (6H, d, J=6.4 Hz, H_3-26 and H_3-27), 0.68 (3H, s, H_3-18); ^{13}C nmr (pyridine-d_5, 67.9 MHz) δ 170.47 (s), 141.58 (s), 123.38 (d), 78.65 (s), 76.96 (s), 73.36 (d), 71.95 (d), 70.04 (d), 56.32 (d), 51.02 (d), 47.10 (t), 42.87 (s), 42.42 (s), 39.71 (t), 37.82 (t), 36.44 (d), 36.29 (t), 29.38 (t), 28.23 (t), 28.23 (d), 28.02 (t), 24.20 (t), 23.51 (t), 22.96 (q), 22.69 (q), 21.40 (q), 21.40 (q), 18.92 (q), 12.78 (q); eims *m/z* 492 (M^+, 2), 474 (M^+-H_2O, 20), 456 (M^+-2H_2O, 18), 414 ($M^+-H_2O-CH_3COOH$, 7), 396 ($M^+-2H_2O-CH_3COOH$, 33), 283 (M^+−side-chain−$2H_2O-CH_3COOH$, 37), 265 (M^+−side-chain−$3H_2O-CH_3COOH$, 24), 93 (100), 81 (100), 69 (100).

Compound **8** exhibited: $[\alpha]_D$ −6.0° (*c*=0.2, $CHCl_3$); ir (neat) ν max 3385, 1735, 1683, 1242 cm^{-1}; uv (CH_3OH) λ max 232 nm (ε 5820); ^1H nmr (pyridine-d_5, 400 MHz) δ 5.97 (1H, br s, H-7), 5.62 (1H, m, H_α-3), 4.40 (1H, dd, *J*=12.1 and 4.4 Hz, H_β-11), 2.95 (1H, br dd, *J*=8.3 and 8.3 Hz, H-14), 2.70 (1H, br dd, *J*=12.1 and 4.4 Hz, H_{eq}-4), 2.40 (1H, dd, *J*=12.1 and 5.1 Hz, H_{eq}-12), 2.17 (1H, dd, *J*=12.1 and 12.1 Hz, H_{ax}-4), 2.06 (1H, d, *J*=12.1 and 12.1 Hz, H_{ax}-12), 1.98 (3H, s, acetate), 1.31 (3H, s, H_3-19), 0.92 (3H, d, *J*=5.7 Hz, H_3-21), 0.87 (6H, d, *J*=7.0 Hz, H_3-26 and H_3-27), 0.64 (3H, s, H_3-18); eims *m/z* 490 (M^+, 1), 472 (M^+-H_2O, 12), 430 (M^+-CH_3COOH, 5), 412 ($M^+-CH_3COOH-H_2O$, 48), 394 ($M^+-CH_3COOH-2H_2O$, 16), 359 (M^+−side-chain−H_2O, 27), 299 (M^+−side-chain−H_2O-CH_3COOH, 10), 281 (M^+−side-chain−$2H_2O-CH_3COOH$, 20), 181 (100), 121 (100), 93 (100).

Reaction of 5α-cholest-7-ene-3β,5,6β,9α,11α-pentol 3-acetate [**7**] *with lead tetraacetate to produce synthetic* **1**.—To a solution of 5α-cholest-7-ene-3β,5,6β,9α,11α-pentol 3-acetate [**7**] (6.0 mg, 0.012 mmol) in CH_3COOH (1 ml), crystalline lead tetraacetate (6.0 mg) was added portionwise over a 5 min period at room temperature. When the reaction was complete [10 min, tlc analysis ($CHCl_3$-CH_3OH, 9:1)], two drops of ethylene glycol were added and the mixture diluted with ice-H_2O and extracted with $CHCl_3$. The organic layer was washed with aqueous $NaHCO_3$, dried ($MgSO_4$), and evaporated. The residue was chromatographed on a Hibar LiChrosorb Si-60 (250×4 mm) column using $CHCl_3$-CH_3OH (96:4) as eluent to give 4.0 mg of ketoaldehyde **1** which had spectral (^1H-nmr, ^{13}C-nmr, ir, uv, ms) and chromatographic properties identical to those exhibited by the natural product, **1**. Furthermore, synthetic **1** had $[\alpha]_D$ −50.8° (*c*=0.4, $CHCl_3$).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Stazione Zoologica di Napoli for supplying the sponge. This work was supported by the Ministero dell' Università e della Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica (MURST), Italy. Mass spectral data were provided by the Servizio di Spettrometria di Massa del CNR e dell' Università di Napoli. The assistance of the staff is gratefully acknowledged.

LITERATURE CITED

1. A. Madaio, V. Piccialli, D. Sica, and G. Corriero, *J. Nat. Prod.*, **52**, 952 (1989).
2. A. Migliuolo, G. Notaro, V. Piccialli, and D. Sica, *J. Nat. Prod.*, **53**, 1414 (1990).
3. A. Migliuolo, V. Piccialli, D. Sica, and F. Giordano, *Steroids*, **58**, 134 (1993).
4. A. Migliuolo, V. Piccialli, and D. Sica, *Tetrahedron*, **47**, 7937 (1991).
5. A. Migliuolo, V. Piccialli, and D. Sica, *Steroids*, **57**, 344 (1992).
6. M. De Rosa, L. Minale, and G. Sodano, *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* [*B*], **46**, 823 (1973).
7. Y. Fujimoto, T. Yamada, and N. Ikekawa, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **33**, 3129 (1985).
8. M. Fieser, A. Quilico, A. Nickon, W.E. Rosen, E.J. Tarlton, and L.F. Fieser, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **75**, 4066 (1953).
9. W.V. Ruyle, T.A. Jacob, J.A. Chemerda, E.M. Chamberlin, D.W. Rosemburg, G.E. Sita, R.L. Erickson, L.M. Aliminosa, and M. Tishler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **75**, 2604 (1953).
10. M. Schroeder, *Chem. Rev.*, **80**, 187 (1980).
11. A.H. Haines, "Methods for the Oxidation of Organic Compounds." Ed. by A.R. Katritzky, O. Meth-Cohn, and C.W. Rees, Academic Press, London, 1988, pp. 287–292.
12. M.R. Bendall, D.M. Doddrell, D.T. Pegg, and W.E. Hull, "DEPT Bruker Information Bulletin," Bruker Analytsche Masstechnik, Karlsruhe, Germany, 1982.

Received 17 February 1994